

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,284	10/06/2000	Sigrid Lise Fossheim	REF/FOSSHEIM/100	8494
75	90 10/09/2002			
Bacon & Thomas PLLC			EXAMINER .	
625 Slaters Lane 4th Floor			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
Alexandria, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/680,284	FOSSHEIM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lauren Q Wells	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for R ply							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Faillul - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimurill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	December 10 to 10						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2002.						
2a)⊠	,—	is action is non-fina					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-13 and 23-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-13 and 23-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
/-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:				

The Amendment filed 7/16/02, cancelled claims 7-8, amended claims 2 and 6, and amended a paragraph on pg. 16 of the specification.

Response to Applicant's Arguments/Amendment

The Applicant's arguments filed 7/16/02 (Paper No. 11) to the rejection of claims 1-6, 9-13 and 23-38 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and deemed not persuasive.

The Applicant's amendment and arguments filed 7/16/02 (Paper No. 11) are persuasive to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejections in the previous Office Action. See below for details.

103 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claims 1-6, 9-13 and 23-38 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unger (6,315,981) in view of Ozer et al. (EP. Jn. Of Pharm. And Biopharm) or Sonek et al. (5,631,141) in further view of Unger (6,143,276) is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed 2/13/02, Paper No. 8, and those found below.

Applicant argues, "Unger I discloses an invention where not the microsphere matrix but the gaseous precursor inside the microsphere is responsive to temperature". This argument is not persuasive. First, the Examiner respectfully points out that this rejection was made in combination with Ozer or Sonek et al. to teach the responsiveness of the matrix to a pre-selected physiological parameter. As in the previous Office Action, the Examiner respectfully points out that a) Unger, Ozer and Sonek all teach vesicles comprised of phospholipids that can encapsulate active agents; b) Unger and Ozer teach vesicles comprised of the same phospholipids; b) and, Ozer and Sonek teach their phospholipids as undergoing phase transitions under the influence of

Application/Control Number: 09/680,284

Art Unit: 1617

increased temperature. Second, the Examiner respectfully points out phospholipids are known in the art to respond to changes in temperature. Biochemistry by Grisham and Garrett teach the following: "Lipids in bilayers undergo radical changes in physical state over characteristic narrow temperature ranges. . .These phase transitions involve substantial changes in the organization and motion of the fatty acyl chains within the bilayer".

Applicant argues, "The present invention, on the other hand, discloses that this phase transition temperature is used to design temperature sensitive liposomes which show increased permeability at this phase transition temperature". This argument is not persuasive, as it is not commensurate in scope with independent claims 1, 23, 37 or 38.

Applicant argues, "A person skilled in the art would not combine the teachings of Unger I and Ozer, because a stable microsphere matrix is a prerequisite of the teaching of Unger I, and the breakdown of the liposome matrix is a prerequisite of the teaching of Ozer". This argument is not persuasive. First, the Examiner respectfully points out that a stable microsphere does not correspond to a microsphere that does not undergo a phase transition. Is not the instant microsphere stable, and does not the microsphere of Unger I breakdown in the body? Second, The Examiner respectfully points out the microspheres of Unger I and Ozer are comprised of the same lipids, and would therefore exhibit the same properties.

Applicant argues, "In contrary to the present invention, Sonek does not disclose contrast generating species. Moreover, the method according to Sonek requires the biosensor to be placed inside the body, whereas the method according to the instant invention is a non-invasive method". This argument is not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully points out that Sonek was

Application/Control Number: 09/680,284

Art Unit: 1617

relied upon to teach that organic phospholipid bilayers, such as those taught by Unger I, undergo temperature-dependent phase transitions.

Applicant argues regarding Unger II, "Thus, bioactive agents are released. In contrary thereto, the present invention requires a matrix which is responsive to the change in temperature". This argument is not persuasive, as Unger II was relied upon for his teachings of targeting cell adhesion molecules attached to microspheres containing gaseous precursors.

Notes/Suggestion

(i) The Examiner respectfully points out, in regard to claim 9, that contrast agents have the property of acting as a cell adhesion molecule. For example, tumor cells are bloated cells filled with water. As a result, contrast agents are preferentially attracted to these cells in comparison to normal cells.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,284

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7-5:30), with alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russell S. Travers can be reached on (703)308-4603. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

lqw October 4, 2002

SREENI PADMANABHAN

Page 5